



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,011	10/19/2004	Epke Bosma	35290	2286	
7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER		
Hovey Williams			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE		
Timmons & Co	ollins				
Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2405 Grand		3644			
Kansas City, MO 64108			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/512,0	011	BOSMA ET AL.	BOSMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		Yvonne I	R. Abbott	3644		
<i>T</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communic Leply	cation appears on th	he cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA is of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- od for reply is specified above, the maximum statt reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. utory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Sir	esponsive to communication(s) filed is action is FINAL . 2 Ince this application is in condition for seed in accordance with the practic	b)⊠ This action is or allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal ma	•	ne merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the ap Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1,2,9,11-14,17-19,21,22 and</u> aim(s) <u>3-8,10,15,16,20 and 23</u> is/are aim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co d 24-30 is/are reject re objected to.	ted.			
Application	Papers					
10)∏ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: plicant may not request that any object placement drawing sheet(s) including to oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ A 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in nents have bee ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	al Stage	
	References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔯 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date 1/3/05, 5/5/06.	⁻ O-948)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/512,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' arguments regarding the restriction requirement of 6/8/06 are considered persuasive. Thus all claims 1-30 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 13, 14, 17, 22,24,25, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hakes (6,694,830). Hakes discloses a method and device for sampling of milk from an animal, the milk of which is to be tested, wherein the device comprises a collecting member arranged to receive milk samples from a milk line, which is arranged to transport milk from one animal at a time; and a passage arranged to allow a milk flow from the milk line to the collecting member; wherein the device further comprises flow means arranged to provide a milk flow, from the animal, through at least a part of the passage at least a time period before a milk sample is taken in

order to rinse at least said part of the passage from milk residues from a previously milked animal; wherein the device comprises an analyzing device to provide a count of somatic cells, fat droplets, and combinations thereof; wherein the device is connected to a milk line, which constitutes a part of an automatically controlled arrangement for milking; wherein the device comprises a control unit to control the sampling process; and wherein the device is capable of extract milk from one teat at a time.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 17-19,21,24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as 4. being anticipated by van der Lely et al. (5,957,081). Van der Lely discloses a method and device for sampling of milk from an animal, the milk of which is to be tested, wherein the device comprises a collecting member (29) arranged to receive milk samples from a milk line, which is arranged to transport milk from one animal at a time; and a passage arranged to allow a milk flow from the milk line to the collecting member; wherein the device further comprises flow means arranged to provide a milk flow, from the animal, through at least a part of the passage at least a time period before a milk sample is taken in order to rinse at least said part of the passage from milk residues from a previously milked animal; wherein milk is allowed to flow from a collecting container (12) to the collecting member; wherein a valve (23) is arranged in the milk line; and the milk flow is aided by gravity but is additionally aided by a pump (24); wherein the device comprises a control unit arranged to control the milk sampling process, and activate the flow of milk, and initiate sampling at a certain time after a certain amount of milk has been collected; further comprising a milk flow meter (claim

Art Unit: 3644

3); and wherein the device comprises a milking robot (3); and wherein the device is capable of extract milk from one teat at a time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hakes in view of Van der Lely. Both Hakes and Van der Lely disclose devices for sampling milk. Hakes teaches analyzing the somatic cells of the sampled milk and Van der Lely teaches the use of a robot. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a milking robot for sampling milk in the Hakes invention as taught by Van der Lely, in order to facilitate easier access to the teats without the operator having to manually perform the sampling especially when many animals need to be sampled by few operators.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-8, 10, 15,16, 20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/512,011 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571) 272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yvonne R. Abbott
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3644